Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/769,692	SPENCER ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Shawn Gu	2189
All Participants: Status of Application: <u>Pending</u>		
(1) <u>Shawn Gu</u> .	(3)	
(2) Steve Dicke (Reg #: 38,431).	(4)	
Date of Interview: 10 February 2006	Time: <u>3 <i>PM</i></u>	
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed: 19, 22  Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: Claims 19 and 22 were improperly dependent on claim 8 due to lack of antecedent basis, and they should have been dependent on claim 9		
Part III.		
<ul> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.</li> </ul>		
^		
<del>/)</del>		
Alama (911)		
4Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant	'Applicant's Representative Si	ignature – if appropriate)